**Development Control Committee REPORT TO:** 

13 May 2019 DATE:

Strategic Director – Enterprise, Community and Resources **REPORTING OFFICER:** 

Planning Applications to be Determined by the Committee – AB Update List **SUBJECT:** 

WARD(S): Boroughwide

PAGE	LIST A	LIST B	Updated Information
PAGE NO.	LIST A	18/00567/ FULEIA	A further objection has been received since the report was completed that the issues raised in relation to the submitted information, these are summarised as follows:-  • Miss spelt WSR.  • Questions what permission allowed 300 tonnes of waste.  • Has monitored the site and questions the number and type of vehicles entering the site. A second company also uses the site and operates vehicles no going over the weighbridge. These vehicles are not accounted for.  • Will result in unsafe highway conditions – cyclists for example.  • Has the potential for "Spontaneous Combustion" which would waste Fire Service resources and harm the local area.
			<ul> <li>The site is not big enough to contain all the structures proposed. The vehicles proposed are not enough to remove the required waste from the site.</li> <li>The site access will cause problems</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>and congestion on the Jubilee Bridge when its opened.</li> <li>Future growth of the town and from companies such as Stobart Haulage</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>and others on Ditton Road.</li> <li>Shouldn't be operating to odour emission limits, but be well under those.</li> <li>Problems from flies.</li> </ul>

		Malodourous waste from other arears;      health risks for workers on the site.
		<ul> <li>health risks for workers on the site.</li> <li>Impact on McDonalds and other nearby businesses from smell and flies.</li> <li>Company should find a site where all the recycling and incineration is under one roof.</li> </ul>
		This objector has confirmed he has forwarded a complaint in relation to the site to the Council's Environmental Health; Environment Agency; and Michael Gove MP.
		The applicant has provided comments in response to the comments in relation the monitoring of vehicle movements as follows:-
		"From reviewing the comments the project team advise as follows: the response is totally unsupported by any evidence and is purely conjecture. In particular the project team respond as follows:
		<ul> <li>There is no second company that uses the yard. This is fiction and if the complainant is watching the site they are clearly confused regarding the operation.</li> <li>All vehicles importing/exporting waste use the weighbridge. Everything is billed according to weight and the only way of doing this is via the weighbridges on site.</li> </ul>
		In the opinion of the applicant and project team the observations are erroneous and have no significance on the outcome of the assessment."
39	19/00080/ FUL	Since the report was completed, some further submissions have been made to accompany this application. They can be summarised as follows:
		<ul> <li>The parking layout on the proposed site layout plan has been amended to show a workable car parking layout which longer shows car parking within a turning area or car parking bays located in a position which obstructs access to the building. The implementation and</li> </ul>

- maintenance of the parking and servicing layout would be secured by condition.
- The requisite visibility splays are now shown on the proposed site layout plan.
   The implementation and maintenance of the visibility splays shown would be secured by condition.
- The applicant has confirmed their acceptance to the introduction of 2no. Electric Vehicle Charging Points and has shown the bays to benefit from such provision on the proposed site layout plan. Further detail on the specification of the charging points along with implementation and maintenance thereafter would need to be secure by an additional condition to those outlined in the report.
- In addition to the 4no. cycle parking spaces shown on the proposed site plan, the applicant has now confirmed that the provision will also include a shelter and a suitable specification has been provided. The implementation and maintenance would be secured by condition.
- Details on the proposed cladding have now been provided which are considered to be acceptable. The implementation and maintenance would be secured by condition.
- Details on the proposed portakabin office / toilet have now been provided which are considered to be acceptable. The implementation and maintenance would be secured by condition.
- Details on the proposed boundary treatments (2.1m high paladin fencing – Dark Green / Black in Colour) have now been provided which are considered to be acceptable. The implementation and maintenance would be secured by condition.

RECOMMENDATION - Grant planning permission subject to conditions outlined in the report and the one additional condition headlined below:

 Electric Vehicle Charging Point Scheme (Policy CS19).